# Global spillovers: Managing capital flows and forex reserves

#### Viral Acharya

#### (based on "Capital flow management with multiple instruments" w/ Arvind Krishnamurthy)

NSE NYU Conference on "Indian Financial Markets, 2017 December 14, 2017

The views expressed are entirely those of the authors and do not in any way reflect the views of the Reserve Bank of India.  $^1$ 

# Outline

- Motivation Sudden stops and reversals -> Forex reserves - Rey (2013), Obstfeld, Shambaugh and Taylor (2010).
- A measure of external sector resilience
  - (Foreign-reserves Short-term external debt or flows)/GDP
- Reserves and capital controls are complements
  - De Gregorio (2010), Ostry et al. (2010), Aizenmann (2011), Jeanne and Ranciere (2011), Aizenman and Marion (2013),
- Key insights:
  - Foreign reserves do not work absent macro-pru/capital controls
    - Reserves undone by short-term external debt; can make things worse!
    - Macro-prudential comes first; makes reserves effective
  - FPI flows in domestic debt versus external debt
    - Tradeoff: Lower external issuance costs versus greater vulnerability
    - Arbitrage -> Need to tax <u>both</u> foreign debt and FPI in domestic debt
    - <u>Greater the reliance on external debt, greater the needed reserves</u>
  - Macro-prudential measures to deal with the tradeoff
    - Size limits, maturity of investors and investments, rationing the risky.

### SUDDEN STOPS AND REVERSALS: THE TAPER "TANTRUM"

# Monetary easing->EM capital flows

Emerging markets received close to half of global inflows after the crisis compared with less than 20 percent before...

#### Rock-bottom interest rates...



Composition of Global Capital Flows (Share of total flows)



#### Capital Flows Taper Tantrum (May-June 2013) (Bond & Equity)



Source: IMF staff estimates.

Source: Emerging Market Volatility – Lessons from the Taper Tantrum, IMF Staff Discussion Note, September 2014

### QE, Taper Tantrum, EM MF Flows



Source: Market Tantrums and Monetary Policy by Feroli, Kashyap, Schoenholtz and Shin (Feb 2014)

#### TAPER TANTRUM AND INDIA

# Volatility of FPI flows- 'Surge' & 'Stop'



Source: RBI Data for 2017-18 updated till July 2017

### Taper Tantrum and Exchange rate



Source: Bloomberg and RBI

#### **MEASURING RESILIENCE**

# A measure of external resilience

- International or external-sector liquidity
  - Country has issued net short-term (ST) debt claims to foreign investors
    - In the aggregate, should include unhedged foreign exposures and all reversible "hot money" flows
  - If foreigners run, does the country have adequate FX reserves?

$$-Liquidity_{i} = \frac{FX \, Reserves_{i} - ST \, Ext \, Debt_{i}}{GDP_{i}}$$

- Simply looking at reserves is inadequate and a potentially misleading indicator of vulnerability
- Akin to Guidotti-Greenspan (1999) "rule"

# Foreign reserves and short-term debt for EMs tend to rise together



Source: IMF (in trillion USD), see also Carstens (2016)

#### **Trend in Forex Reserves for India**



Source: RBI

#### **Movement in Short term External debt**



Short-term debt — Short term Debt as % of Total Debt (RHS)

Source: INDIA'S EXTERNAL DEBT, A Status Report, 2016-17 by Government of India



Source: INDIA'S EXTERNAL DEBT, A Status Report, 2016-17 by Government of India

#### Does the measure work more broadly?

 <u>Cross-country outcomes</u> during the taper tantrum explained by liquidity

$$Liquidity_{i,2013} = \frac{\text{Reserves}_{i,2013} - \text{ST Debt}_{i,2013}}{\text{GDP}_{i,2013}}$$

• Asset price changes from June '13 to Oct '17





#### (a) Change in Sovereign Bond Spread

#### (b) Stock Market Return



#### (c) Currency Appreciation

### Does the measure work more broadly?

 Cross-country outcomes against global risk factors also explained by liquidity

$$\text{Liquidity}_{i,2013} = \frac{\text{Reserves}_{i,2013} - \text{ST Debt}_{i,2013}}{\text{GDP}_{i,2013}}$$

- <u>Global factor</u>: the first principal component of the time series of
  - 10 year US Treasury yields (Rey, 2013)
  - VIX (Rey, 2013)
  - S&P500 stock return
  - Return on the US dollar basket index
  - Return on the commodity price index

(a) Change in Sovereign Bond Spread						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Global Factor	-0.0753	-0.0627	-0.1228	-0.1162		
	(3.94)***	(3.32)***	(7.35)***	(6.72)***		
Global Factor $\times$ Liquidity			0.0748	0.0784		
			(4.13)***	(3.21)***		
Liquidity			0.0012	-0.03		
			-0.03	-0.33		
Country FE	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Year FE	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Restrict to Large Shock	Ν	Y	Ν	Y		
$R^2$	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.05		
N	21,331	2,188	13,733	1,413		
(c) Currency Appreciation						
Global Factor	0.1539	0.1297	0.217	0.1828		
	$(4.84)^{***}$	(4.97)***	(3.68)***	(3.71)***		
Global Factor $\times$ Liquidity			-0.0986	-0.0843		
			(2.23)**	(2.28)**		
Liquidity			0.0035	0.1021		
			-0.18	(1.94)*		
Country FE	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Year FE	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Restrict to Large Shock	Ν	Y	Ν	Y		
$R^2$	0.07	0.21	0.08	0.24		
Ν	27,615	2,848	17,823	1,843		

\*\* p < 0.05; \*\*\* p < 0.01.

## A MODEL OF RESERVES AND CAPITAL CONTROLS

# Sketch of the model

- Caballero-Krishnamurthy (2001), Caballero-Simsek (2016).
- Three dates: 0, 1, 2
- Domestic borrower, foreign lender, central bank
- Representative firm (bank or multinational or exporter) takes on liability *L* from foreign lender in foreign currency
- Invests domestically at normal-time exchange rate (=1)
- Liability is short-term, due at t=1; cash flows at t=2
- <u>Retrenchment risk (sudden stop/reversal)</u> w.p. *p*
- In case of retrenchment, the firm liquidates collateral L domestically, converts to foreign currency at rate e < 1</li>
- Incurs liquidation costs to meet the shortfall of L(1-e)
- <u>Central bank has reserves</u> *X* that are used to act as buyer of last resort of domestic currency in the retrenchment state
- e = X / L; Bankruptcy cost suffered = f(L X)

# Fire-sale externality

- Each firm is competitive; so does not internalize the impact of its short-term external liability on the price *e*
- Price *e* increases in reserves *X* and decreases in aggregate short-term external debt *L*
- Privately optimal *L* 
  - Declines in *p*, the likelihood of sudden stop
  - Increases as anticipated *e* increases, undoing the reserves ("moral hazard" channel of reserves)
- Socially optimal *L* takes into account the cost of reserves and internalizes the fire-sale externality
  - Reserves are a form of bailout
  - Beyond a point, less reserves can be more!

# Can the central bank do better?

- Central bank can "tax" short-term external debt to get firms to internalize the cost of reserves and the fire-sale externality (capital controls, macro-pru limits)
- In the extremis, an omniscient central bank can just limit L to the "right" level
- More realistically, it has to charge a Pigouvian tax that increases in the likelihood of the retrenchment state and liquidation / bankruptcy costs
- <u>Macro-prudential comes first; makes the reserves work!</u>
  - Macro-pru limits the moral hazard channel of reserves
  - Make larger reserves effective as a defense against stops
  - Jeanne and Korinek (2010), Jeanne (2016)

# Heterogeneity among firms

- Sets of firms; set *i* faces liquidation in the retrenchment state w.p. *p\_i*
- Lower p\_i captures the relative safety of a firm: larger, more stable, export-oriented firms

• Now, 
$$e = X / \int_i p_i L_i di$$

- Riskier (safer) firms contribute more to the fire-sale externality and over (under) borrow
- Pigouvian taxation:  $\frac{\tau^{F,i}}{\tau^{F,i'}} = \frac{p^i}{p^{i'}}$ .

### Foreign currency vs local currency debt

- Suppose now that foreigners can also invest in domestic currency debt (locally or abroad)
  - Assume foreign currency debt is cheaper (by s) due to accommodative policies abroad or lack of ease for foreigners in bankruptcy
- Foreigners leave domestic markets too in retrenchment state, not rolling over domestic debt (e.g., FPI outflow)
- "Twin crisis":
  - Kaminsky-Reinhart (1996), Chang-Velasco (2001)
- FPI's charge ex ante for the fx risk they bear: p(1-e)

 $r^D - r \approx s + \phi(1 - e)$ 

- In retrenchment state: e = X / (L\_foreign + L\_domestic)
- Incentive to issue abroad due to cheaper costs ("carry") Carry trade ignores the fire-sale externality, as before 24

# What can the central bank do?

- As before, to make the reserves effective, the central bank can "tax" issuance of short-term external debt
- However, firms have two markets to undo the central bank reserves
- If tax on foreign currency debt is high, then firms switch to domestic currency debt in spite of higher cost

- Hence, central bank has to tax <u>both</u> margins of arbitrage

- This way, overall short-term external debt can be kept limited and reserves made to work in sudden stops
- To manage global spillovers, macro-pru on foreign flows into <u>both</u> foreign-currency and domesticcurrency debt <u>complement</u> the central bank's reserves

### MANAGING CAPITAL FLOWS: THE RBI APPROACH

# I. Caps on external debt

- Three primary types of non-government debt
  - <u>Foreign Portfolio Investment (FPI)</u> in domestic currency debt (both Government of India securities at center and state level, as well as corporate bonds)
  - <u>External Commercial Borrowings (ECB)</u> in foreign currency, typically loans to Indian corporations
  - <u>Rupee Denominated Bonds (RDB) or "Masala" bonds</u> issued overseas, typically listed on LSE
- Current limits:
  - FPI G-sec: \$39 bln; SDL: \$6 bln; Corporate: \$36 bln
  - ECB + Masala bonds: \$130 bln

# II. Limits by investor horizon

- FPI limits by Long Term vs General investors:
  - Long Term includes Insurance firms, Endowments and Pension Funds, Sovereign Wealth Funds, Central Banks, and Multilateral Agencies

	Central Gove	Central Government Securities		State Development Loans		
Effective						
for Quarter	General	Long Term	Total	General	Long Term	Total
2017-18 Q3	29.29	9.31	38.60	4.63	1.44	6.07
Corporate Bonds						
Effective	Long term FPIs					
for Quarter	infrastructure	General	Total			
2017-18 Q3	1.47	33.64	35.10	1		
Source: RBI, D	BIE.					28

# II. Limits by investor horizon (cont'd)

- FPI limits by Long Term versus General investors:
  - Long Term includes Insurance firms, Endowments and Pension Funds, Sovereign Wealth Funds, Central Banks, and Multilateral Agencies
- FPI restrictions in the past also included
  - Sub-limits for 100% debt funds as against minimum 70:30 equity-debt investment ratio funds.
  - Minimum lock-in periods of up to three years
- Counter to our theoretical analysis, long-term investors were not allowed by India to be eligible lenders to ECBs until 2015!
  - Domestic banks not allowed to refinance ECBs

#### III. Limits on maturity of investments

- Presently, FPIs are disallowed from investing in liquid short-term money-market instruments such Treasury bills or commercial paper (CP).
  - Prior to the taper tantrum, there was a carve-out for FPI investments in Treasury Bills and CP.

Type of convrition	April-2013	Jun-2013	Nov-2013
Type of securities	\$bn	\$bn	\$bn
1. Government debt	25	30	30
a. T-bills within overall limit	5.5	5.5	5.5
b. Carved out limit for SWFs & other LT FIIs	-	5	5
2. Corporate bond	51	51	51
a. CPs within overall limit	3.5	3.5	3.5
b. Credit enhancement bonds within overall limit	-	-	5
3. Total Limit (1+2)	76	81	81
Source: DBIE, RBI.			30

### III. Limits on investment maturity (cont'd)

- Since the taper tantrum
  - <u>Residual maturity restrictions of investments by FPIs</u> in debt holdings of minimum three years of maturity at origination or purchase.
  - <u>In ECBs</u>, borrower can take on debt up to \$50 million with <u>minimum average maturity (MAM)</u> of 3 years; or up to \$50 million if the maturity is 5 years
    - Foreign currency denominated under the so-called Track-I of ECB, or INR denominated under Track-III of ECB.
  - In contrast, no borrowing limits within the overall ECB limit is imposed for borrowings meeting a minimum average maturity of 10 years
    - Foreign currency denominated borrowing under Track-II.

# IV. Rationing high-liquidity demanders

- Only relatively high credit quality borrowers can tap into ECBs:
  - <u>Coupon or "all-in-cost" ceilings by debt issue</u>
  - Imposing sub-limits on investments in risky instruments such as unlisted corporate bonds and security receipts (a form of distressed asset resolution instrument)
  - Ruling out excessive correlated liquidations by imposing investment sub-limits by sector.
- These restrictions limit ECBs to high-rated borrowers, as suggested by our model.
- On the other hand, this form of taxation does not exist for domestic debt issuances purchased by the FPIs

Minimum average maturity	3 year to 5 year	More than 5 year
2004-05	200 bps	350
2007-08	150	250
2008-09	200	350
2009-10	300	500
2011-12	350	500
2015-16	300	450
Source: DBIE_RBI		

Table 5: Evolution of AIC spread (in bps) over Libor-6 month/Swap



33

### V. Harmonizing ECB and Masala Bonds

- Masala Bonds envisioned to provide wider access for Indian entities to international debt markets without currency risk
- Guidelines were more relaxed than ECB norms: No restrictions on investors; any corporate eligible to issue; no cost ceiling
- <u>Masala Bonds route gained popularity in the past year as</u> <u>"arbitrage" over ECB and FPI in domestic corporate bonds</u>
  - Used by related parties to circumvent ECB/FDI; Rates not linked to market
  - Used to camouflage ECBs
- Recent Measures to address macro-prudential concerns:
  - June 2017: Restrictions on 'related party' transactions
  - All-in-costs ceilings of G-Sec + 300 bps imposed
  - Minimum tenor which was originally 5 years aligned to ECB
    - Upto USD 50 mn: 3 years; above USD 50 mn: 5 years

# Some food for thought...

- <u>Potential arbitrage of capital controls between ECB and</u> <u>FPI in debt markets</u>
  - Should there be all-in-cost ceilings on domestic debt FPI's can invest in?
- <u>Greater linking of FPI and ECB + Masala bond caps to</u> the extent of reserves
  - Conversely, reserves accumulation policy contingent on the external short-term debt
  - Unclear that caps should be linked to the underlying market-size, as in GSEC and SDL case
  - Also caps should be on stocks, rather than flows
- <u>Shouldn't the limits on Long-term investors be larger</u> <u>than for General investors?</u>

#### Is there arbitrage across FPI vs ECB?

Movement in O/S debt stock

